ARTICLE IN PRESS

Perspective

The Challenging Task of Measuring Home Cooking Behavior

Margaret Raber, DrPH¹; Julia Wolfson, PhD, MPP²

ABSTRACT

The link between home cooking and health is being actively explored in both observational and experimental studies. However, research on this topic is limited by the lack of cooking behavior metrics. Most existing assessment tools focus only on cooking frequency or one's ability to complete specific *a priori* food preparations. Cooking is a complex and multifaceted behavior that is influenced by culture, environment, and social norms. More flexible and adaptable measurement approaches are needed to elucidate the spectrum of cooking ability in the population and, in turn, develop meaningful recommendations and interventions.

Key Words: cooking, food preparation, behavior assessment (*J Nutr Educ Behav.* 2020;000:1–3.) Accepted November 18, 2020.

INTRODUCTION

Cooking skills are an important and growing area in nutrition research, and the relationship between cooking and health is a current topic of investigation. Epidemiological evidence suggests cooking frequency is positively associated with diet quality,^{1,2} highlighting the potential impact of cooking education interventions to reduce diet-related disease. In turn, cooking education is increasingly popular, with community cooking programs increasingly offered in health centers, churches, schools, community centers, and even hospitals and medical schools.^{3–6} Promoting healthful food preparation may support practical nutrition education, but cooking at home is not always inherently healthy. Cooking is a complex and multifaceted behavior, and its relationship to diet quality depends very much on what is being prepared.^{1,7} Most existing assessment tools of adult food preparation, however, focus only on cooking frequency or one's ability to complete specific a

priori food preparations, limiting research on this topic.

A recent publication by Hagmann et al⁸ represents a valuable contribution to this field of research through its examination of self-perceived cooking skills and acquisition of such skills among a large sample of adults in Switzerland. The authors' use of a validated cooking skills metric was a strength. However, the measure itself (ie, self-perceived ability to complete a priori culinary targets) illustrates some of the inherent challenges of defining and measuring cooking skills, particularly as related to diet quality and health and in a diverse population, such as the US. The aim of this perspective is to explore these challenges and describe 2 novel tools of cooking behavior assessment.

DISCUSSION

Exclusive measures of cooking frequency or time spent cooking fail to differentiate between higher and lower quality meal preparations.^{2,9,10} More detailed measures of cooking

skills, behavior, and related psychosocial constructs are essential to move both observational and experimental research forward. However, developing broadly applicable home cooking measures is challenging. First, the concept of healthy vs unhealthy cooking is difficult to define and operationalize, as healthy cooking for 1 person may look very different than healthy cooking for another. 11-13 Similarly, the act of cooking varies in definition across the population, with some conceptualizing an act as cooking only when scratch or raw ingredients are used or when heat is applied. 14 Second, home food environments are influenced by structural (eg, overnight work schedules, access to grocery stores) and economic factors as well as social and cultural norms (eg, religious avoidance of certain food, norms around food preferences, and cultural culinary traditions)^{15–17}; therefore, measures must be flexible enough to remain relevant across different circumstances. Third, the validation of home cooking metrics is limited by the complexity of cooking behavior and the lack of a gold standard or objective measures.

Existing metrics of cooking mainly examine self-efficacy/confidence or behavioral capacity to complete tasks. ^{18–22} For example, Laska et al ¹⁸ and Larson et al ¹⁹ measured self-reported frequency of helping prepare dinner; buying fresh vegetables; writing a grocery list; preparing a green salad; preparing a dinner with chicken, fish, or vegetables; and

Address for correspondence: Margaret Raber, DrPH, Department of Behavioral Science, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd, Houston, TX 77030; E-mail: mpraber@mdanderson.org

© 2020 Society for Nutrition Education and Behavior. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2020.11.012

Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior • Volume 000, Number 000, 2020

¹Department of Behavioral Science, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

²Department of Health Management and Policy and Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Michigan School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI Conflict of Interest Disclosure: The authors have not stated any conflicts of interest.

preparing an entire dinner for 2 or more people. Utter et al²⁰ examined cooking skills by assessing a combination of cooking frequency, frequency of preparing a meal with vegetables, and self-perceived cooking skill adequacy. Lavelle et al²¹ proposed a measure of cooking skill confidence that asked participants how good they were (on a scale of 1-7) at a number of specific tasks. The measure used by Hartmann et al²² evaluates self-reported cooking skill sufficiency and self-perceived ability to complete a series of culinary preparations including a hot meal without a recipe, gratin, soup, sauce, cake, and bread.⁸ Although this measure may be appropriate for use in Switzerland, these items are unlikely to resonate across more diverse populations such as the US. Although the article showed a weak correlation between their measure of cooking skills and diet quality, an alternative measure less tied to one's ability to perform certain tasks may have shown a stronger relationship. Furthermore, several of the cooking skills (eg. cake, bread, gratin, many hot meals) are not particularly healthy. In addition, a person may be a highly proficient cook but never cook gratins, cake, or bread. The measure by Hagmann et al⁸ has been used in other populations; Tani et al²³ adapted the measure for common Japanese food preparations including boiling eggs and vegetables, grilling fish, stir-frying meat and vegetables, and making miso soup. Measures that do not attempt to quantify the ability to do specific tasks/cook certain items but rather take a more adaptable approach to understanding cooking may be more effective in elucidating the spectrum of cooking quality in the population.

The Cooking and Food Provisioning Action Scale (CAFPAS) is a measure of food agency and is more sensitive to the intricacies of the cooking process including upstream (eg, time constraints, food access/environment) and downstream factors (eg, personal attitudes and self-efficacy). The 28-item scale includes 3 subscales (self-efficacy, attitude, structure). In an initial validation study, the CAFPAS had

high internal consistency (Cronbach $\alpha > 0.70$ for all 3 subscales and for the scale overall). ^{26,27} Criterion validity was assessed in relation to the Food Involvement Scale (r=0.65), indicating strong criterion-related validity. ²⁴ The CAFPAS has been effective in predicting differences in both cooking behaviors and diet quality in subsequent studies in different populations (adults, college students). ^{25,28}

The CAFPAS measures one's agency, or self-efficacy, around food procurement and preparation without a priori identifying the specific cooking actions or skills. The CAFPAS measures attitudes, self-efficacy, and the ability to navigate structural barriers to food procurement and preparation. This approach recognizes that cooking skills and behavior are contextually dependent and avoids the need for the researcher to define a priori the kinds of food a person should be able to cook or how they should prepare them to be considered skilled. Higher food agency (as measured by CAFPAS) is associated with higher cooking frequency, higher scratch cooking, and better diet quality.25,28

The Healthy Cooking Index (HCI) is another alternative metric based on a systematic review of observational and experimental research.²⁹ The HCI considers 19 broad, culturally flexible cooking practices with the potential to influence the biochemical composition of prepared food and downstream markers of nutritional health. The HCI codes +1/-1 for positive/negative behaviors demonstrated during a single food preparation event and generates an overall cooking quality score ranging from -9 to +10. The HCI has been applied successfully to observational data of home cooking events; higher HCI scores are associated with lower saturated fat and higher fiber, fruit, and whole grain contents of prepared meals. However, the participants were unable to correctly selfreport their own HCI behaviors when compared with direct observation.⁷ The HCI is currently undergoing refinement for use as a self-report tool. The HCI is the only measure that has been compared with a ground truth assessment (direct observation of home cooking), which suggests other cooking metrics may be subject to response bias if items are not carefully operationalized.

IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

These 2 tools represent early forays into meaningful home cooking measurement in the US. As a growing subfield of nutrition, researchers must move beyond the measurement of cooking skills as the ability to cook certain foods. The cooking equals healthy assumption: the structural and contextual factors that shape cooking behavior; and the mechanisms linking cooking, related practices, and health outcomes warrant further interrogation. The authors suggest that measures of cooking practices be constructed carefully, validated sensibly, and applied thoughtfully to diverse populations in tandem with objective measures of nutrition and health.

Available evidence suggests that cooking meals at home is, indeed, an important health behavior. However, more work is needed to identify food preparation practices and behavior patterns and how they are related to diet and downstream health outcomes. Valid and reliable measurement tools that recognize the contextually dependent, complex nature of cooking behavior and cooking skills are critical to this area of research.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dr Raber is supported by the MD Anderson Cancer Center Support Grant (P30 CA16672), the Cancer Prevention Research Training Program Postdoctoral Fellowship in Cancer Prevention and Control, and the Center for Energy Balance in Cancer Prevention and Survivorship, Duncan Family Institute. Dr Wolfson was supported by the National Institutes of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases of the National Institutes of Health (award no. K01 DK119166). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

REFERENCES

1. Wolfson JA, Leung CW, Richardson CR. More frequent cooking at home is associated with higher Healthy Eating Index-2015 score. *Public Health Nutr.* 2020;23:2384–2394.

Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior • Volume 000, Number 000, 2020

- 2. Tiwari A, Aggarwal A, Tang W, Drewnowski A. Cooking at home: a strategy to comply with U.S. dietary guidelines at no extra cost. *Am J Prev Med.* 2017; 52:616–624.
- Hasan B, Thompson WG, Almasri J, et al. The effect of culinary interventions (cooking classes) on dietary intake and behavioral change: a systematic review and evidence map. BMC Nutr. 2019;5:29.
- Reicks M, Kocher M, Reeder J. Impact of cooking and home food preparation interventions among adults: a systematic review (2011-2016). J Nutr Educ Behav. 2018;50:148–172. e1.
- Reicks M, Trofholz AC, Stang JS, Laska MN. Impact of cooking and home food preparation interventions among adults: outcomes and implications for future programs. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2014;46: 259–276.
- Hersch D, Perdue L, Ambroz T, Boucher JL. The impact of cooking classes on food-related preferences, attitudes, and behaviors of school-aged children: a systematic review of the evidence, 2003–2014. Prev Chronic Dis. 2014;11:E193.
- 7. Raber M, Baranowski T, Crawford K, et al. The Healthy Cooking Index: nutrition optimizing home food preparation practices across multiple data collection methods. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2020;120:1119–1132.
- 8. Hagmann D, Siegrist M, Hartmann C. Acquisition of cooking skills and associations with healthy eating in Swiss adults. *J Nutr Educ Behav.* 2020;52:483–491.
- 9. Mills S, Brown H, Wrieden W, White M, Adams J. Frequency of eating home cooked meals and potential benefits for diet and health: cross-sectional analysis of a population-based cohort study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2017;14:109.

- Smith LP, Ng SW, Popkin BM. Trends in US home food preparation and consumption: analysis of national nutrition surveys and time use studies from 1965– 1966 to 2007–2008. Nutr J. 2013;12:45.
- 11. Tsai M, Ritchie LD, Ohri-Vachaspati P, Au LE. Student perception of health-fulness, school lunch healthfulness, and participation in school lunch: the Healthy Communities Study. *J Nutr Educ Behav.* 2019;51:623–628.
- Wolfson JA, Smith KC, Frattaroli S, Bleich SN. Public perceptions of cooking and the implications for cooking behaviour in the USA. *Public Health Nutr.* 2016;19:1606–1615.
- Bisogni CA, Jastran M, Seligson M, Thompson A. How people interpret healthy eating: contributions of qualitative research. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2012; 44:282–301.
- 14. Wolfson JA, Bleich SN, Smith KC, Frattaroli S. What does cooking mean to you?: perceptions of cooking and factors related to cooking behavior. *Appetite*. 2016;97:146–154.
- 15. Mills S, White M, Brown H, et al. Health and social determinants and outcomes of home cooking: a systematic review of observational studies. *Appetite*. 2017;111:116–134.
- Alkon AH, Block D, Moore K, Gillis C, DiNuccio N, Chavez N. Foodways of the urban poor. *Geoforum*. 2013;48: 126–135.
- 17. De Wit JB, Stok FM, Smolenski DJ, et al. Food culture in the home environment: family meal practices and values can support healthy eating and self-regulation in young people in four European countries. *Appl Psychol Health Well Being*. 2015;7:22–40.
- 18. Laska MN, Larson NI, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M. Does involvement in food preparation track from adolescence to young adulthood and is it associated with better dietary quality? Findings from a 10-year longitudinal study. *Public Health Nutr.* 2012;15: 1150–1158.
- 19. Larson NI, Perry CL, Story M, Neumark-Sztainer D. Food preparation by

- young adults is associated with better diet quality. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2006; 106:2001–2007.
- Utter J, Larson N, Laska MN, Winkler M, Neumark-Sztainer D. Self-perceived cooking skills in emerging adult-hood predict better dietary behaviors and intake 10 years later: a longitudinal study. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2018;50:494–500.
- 21. Lavelle F, McGowan L, Hollywood L, et al. The development and validation of measures to assess cooking skills and food skills. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2017;14:118.
- Hartmann C, Dohle S, Siegrist M. Importance of cooking skills for balanced food choices. *Appetite*. 2013;65:125–131.
- 23. Tani Y, Fujiwara T, Kondo K. Cooking skills related to potential benefits for dietary behaviors and weight status among older Japanese men and women: a cross-sectional study from the JAGES. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2020;17:82.
- 24. Lahne J, Wolfson JA, Trubek A. Development of the Cooking and Food Provisioning Action Scale (CAFPAS): a new measurement tool for individual cooking practice. Food Qual Preference. 2017;62:96–105.
- 25. Wolfson JA, Lahne J, Raj M, Insolera N, Lavelle F, Dean M. Food agency in the United States: associations with cooking behavior and dietary intake. *Nutrients*. 2020;12:877.
- Tavakol M, Dennick R. Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. Int J Med Educ. 2011;2:53–55.
- DeVellis Robert F. Scale Development: Theory and Applications. Vol. 26. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 2016.
- 28. Leung CW, Wolfson JA, Lahne J, Barry MR, Kasper N, Cohen AJ. Associations between food security status and dietrelated outcomes among students at a large, public midwestern university. *J Acad Nutr Diet.* 2019;119:1623–1631.
- **29.** Raber M, Chandra J, Upadhyaya M, et al. An evidence-based conceptual framework of healthy cooking. *Prev Med Rep.* 2016;4:23–28.