ON NONISOMORPHIC ROOM SQUARES

J. H. DINITZ AND D. R. STINSON

ABSTRACT. Let NR(s) denote the number of nonisomorphic Room squares of side s. We prove that for s sufficiently large, NR(s) $\ge \exp(cs^2)$ for some absolute constant c. More precisely, NR(s) $\ge .19 \exp(.04s^2)$ for $s \ge 153$ odd; and NR(s) $\ge .19 \exp(.09s^2)$ for $s \ge 1001$ odd.

1. Introduction. Let s be a positive odd integer, and let S be a set of size s + 1. A *Room square* of *side s* is an s by s array, R, which satisfies the following properties:

(0) each cell of R either is empty or contains an unordered pair of elements (symbols) of S,

(1) every symbol occurs in precisely one cell of each row and column of R,

(2) every unordered pair of symbols occurs in precisely one cell of R.

Room squares have appeared in the literature as early as 1850 (see Kirkman [5]). They have been studied extensively since the 1960s, and the existence question was solved in 1974 by Mullin and Wallis [8]. We state their result as

THEOREM 1.1. There exists a Room square of side s if and only if s is an odd positive integer other than 3 or 5.

For i = 1, 2, let R_i be a Room square of side s based on the symbol set S_i , and let $\phi: S_1 \to S_2$ be a bijection. R_1^{ϕ} is defined to be the Room square based on symbol set S_2 , in which x is replaced by $\phi(x)$ for all $x \in S_1$. We say that R_1 and R_2 are *isomorphic* Room squares if there exists some ϕ such that R_2 can be obtained from R_1^{ϕ} by permutations of rows and columns. It is clear that isomorphic Room squares exist for each side s. We will denote this quantity by NR(s). We are able to show that NR(s) $\geq \exp(cs^2)$ for some absolute constant c, so that clearly NR(s) grows extremely rapidly.

The *transpose* of a Room square R is the Room square R^T obtained by interchanging the roles of the rows and columns of R. Two Room squares R_1 and R_2 are *equivalent* if R_1 is isomorphic to either of R_2 or R_2^T (this also clearly yields an equivalence relation). The number of inequivalent Room squares will be denoted by IR(s). The following lemma is immediate.

LEMMA 1.2. $IR(s) \ge \frac{1}{2}NR(s)$

©1983 American Mathematical Society 0002-9939/82/0000-1175/\$02.50

Received by the editors September 30, 1982 and, in revised form, January 26, 1983. 1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05B15.

We note that strict inequality will hold if there exists a Room square of side s which is isomorphic to its transpose. However, our lower bounds for NR(s) provide bounds for IR(s) as well.

The question of determining the number of nonisomorphic designs of a given type has been studied for several types of designs: Steiner triple systems (see [15]), Steiner quadruple systems [4], and one-factorizations of complete graphs [1 and 7]. In [6], Lindner establishes that IR(s) is very large for certain s, but our paper provides the first proof that NR(s) > 1 for all but a finite number of sides s.

The number of inequivalent and nonisomorphic Room squares of side 7 was determined exactly in [3 and 14].

2. A recursive construction. We obtain our lower bounds on NR(s) by using a recursive construction for Room squares based on group divisible designs. A group divisible design (GDD) is a triple $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C})$ which satisfies:

(0) X is a finite set (elements of which are called *points*), and \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{R} are sets of nonempty subsets of X (elements of \mathcal{G} are called *groups* and elements of \mathcal{R} are called *blocks*),

(1) \mathcal{G} is a partition of X,

(2) every block has size at least two, and the groups and blocks together contain every unordered pair of points exactly once.

A transversal design (or TD(k, n)) is a GDD with |X| = kn, having k groups of size n, and n^2 blocks of size k. It is well known that a TD(k, n) is equivalent to a set of k - 2 mutually orthogonal Latin squares of order n.

Our recursive construction starts with a suitable transversal design, replaces each block by an array called a frame, and then replaces each group by a Room square. Let X be a set, and let $\{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_r\}$ be a partition of X. An $\{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_r\}$ -frame is a square array F of size |X|, with rows and columns indexed by X, which satisfies:

(0) every cell of F either is empty or contains an unordered pair of symbols of X,

(1) for every $i, 1 \le i \le r$, the cells of F(s, s'), with $\{s, s'\} \subseteq S_i$, are empty (these empty cells are called *holes*),

(2) each symbol of $X \setminus S_i$ occurs in precisely one cell of each row and each column $s \in S_i$ of F,

(3) the pairs occurring in F are precisely those $\{s, s'\}$ with $(s, s') \in X^2 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r S_i^2$.

Suppose R is a Room square of side s on symbol set $S \cup \{\infty\}$ (|S| = s). Index the rows and columns of R so that $\{\infty, S\}$ occurs in cell (s, s) for each $s \in S$. If the contents of these cells are deleted, an $\{\{s\}: s \in S\}$ -frame is formed. Conversely, given such a frame, one can "complete" it to a Room square by filling in the holes (i.e. cells (s, s)), appropriately.

The type of an $\{S_1, \ldots, S_r\}$ -frame is the multiset $\{|S_i|: 1 \le i \le r\}$. We will use the notation $1^{t_1}2^{t_2}\cdots$ to describe the type of frame where there are precisely $t_i S_j$'s of size $i \ (i \ge 1)$. Thus the above discussion demonstrates that a Room square of side s is equivalent to a frame of type 1^s .

The following two frames are essential ingredients in our recursive construction.

LEMMA 2.1. There exist frames of type 1^9 and 1^83^1 .

PROOF. A frame of type 1^9 is equivalent to a Room square of side 9, which exists by Theorem 1.1. The frame of type 1^9 in Figure 1 was given by Beaman and Wallis in [2]. The frame of type 1^83^1 was found by the first author and is presented in Figure 2. Both frames were found by the use of the computer.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1			4,9	3,7	2, 8		5,6		
2	8,9					5,7	3,4		1,6
3		5,8			6,9	2,4		1,7	
4		3,6	7,8			1,9		2,5	
5		7,9		1,2		3, 8		4,6	
6 [.]	4, 5						1,8	3,9	2,7
7			2,6	5,9	1, 3				4,8
8	6,7	1,4					2,9		3, 5
9	2, 3		1,5	6,8	4,7				

Figure 1. A frame of type 19

Figure 2. A frame of type $1^{8}3^{1}$

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1				5,9			4,8	7,11			6, 10
2				7, 10			6, 11	4,9			5,8
3					4, 10	5,11			6,8	7,9	
4	7,8	9, 11					1,5	2,6	3, 10		
5	6,9	8, 10				1,4				3, 11	2,7
6	10, 11		8,9		1,7			3, 5		2,4	
7				1,6	8, 11	9, 10			2, 5		3,4
8		5,7	4, 11		3,6		2, 10				1,9
9		4,6	5, 10	2, 11		3,7				1,8	
10	4, 5		6,7			2,8	3,9		1, 11		
11				3, 8	2,9			1, 10	4,7	5,6	

Let $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C})$ be a TD(9, *n*), where $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i: 1 \le i \le 9\}$, and let $W \subseteq G_9$. Denote t = |W|. Define a function S, with domain X, by

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in X \setminus W, \\ \{x_i \colon 1 \le i \le 3\} & \text{if } x \in W. \end{cases}$$

For every $A \in \mathcal{C}$, let F_A be any $\{S(x): x \in A\}$ -frame. (Such a frame is of type 1⁹ or 1⁸3¹.) Then $F = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{C}} F_A$ is a $\{\bigcup_{x \in G_i} S(x): 1 \le i \le 9\}$ -frame of type $n^8(n + 2t)^1$ by [11, Construction 2.2]. Now, we complete F to a Room square of side 9n + 2t. Let $\infty \notin \bigcup_{x \in X} S(x)$, and place a Room square, on symbol set $\{\infty\} \cup (\bigcup_{x \in G_i} S(x))$ in the hole of F induced by $\bigcup_{x \in G_i} S(x)$, for $1 \le i \le 9$. The resultant array is a Room square by [12, Theorem 3.1]. We summarize this as

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose there is a TD(9, n), with n odd, and $0 \le t \le n$. If there exist Room squares of sides n and n + 2t, then there exists a Room square of side 9n + 2t.

Our basic method is as follows. We construct a large number of distinct Room squares on a specified symbol set. We can obtain a naive upper bound on the number of Room squares isomorphic to a given Room square. The quotient of these two quantities provides a lower bound on the number of nonisomorphic Room squares. This is done in the next section.

3: **A bound.** We first prove that there are many distinct frames of the types 1^9 and 1^83^1 (on fixed symbol sets).

LEMMA 3.1. There are at least 9! distinct frames of type 1⁹, on a fixed symbol set.

PROOF. The frame F_9 of Figure 1 is an $\{\{i\}: 1 \le i \le 9\}$ -frame. For Π a permutation of $\{1, 2, \ldots, 9\}$, let F_{9}^{Π} be the frame defined by $F_{9}^{\Pi}(\Pi(i), \Pi(j)) = \{\Pi(s), \Pi(t)\}$ where $F_{9}(i, j) = \{s, t\}$. It is easily seen that F_{9}^{Π} is also a $\{\{i\}: 1 \le i \le 9\}$ -frame. We show that the 9! permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, 9\}$ give rise to distinct frames.

Suppose that $F_9^{\Pi} = F_9^{\Phi}$. Then, clearly $F_9^{\Pi \Phi^{-1}} = F_9$. Thus, it suffices to show that $F_9^{\Pi} \neq F_9$ for all Π . This can be established with only a moderate amount of case-work.

If F(i, j) is nonempty, then $F(\Pi(i), \Pi(j))$ must be nonempty. Thus, there are only 36 possibilities for $\{\Pi(i), \Pi(j)\}$, determined by the filled cells of F_9 . We let i = 1, j = 3, and consider each case.

All cases are handled similarly. We give an example. Suppose $(\Pi(1), \Pi(3)) = (2, 7)$. Then $\{\Pi(4), \Pi(9)\} = \{3, 4\} = F_9(2, 7)$. If $\Pi(4) = 4$ and $\Pi(9) = 3$, then $F(\Pi(4), \Pi(9)) = F(4, 3)$ must be empty. It is not, so we have a contradiction. Thus, suppose $\Pi(4) = 3$ and $\Pi(9) = 4$. Then $\{3, \Pi(7)\} = \{\Pi(4), \Pi(7)\} = F(\Pi(9), \Pi(5))$ $= F(4, \Pi(5))$. Since $F(4, 2) = \{3, 6\}$, we have $\Pi(5) = 2$ and $\Pi(7) = 6$. Then $F(\Pi(4), \Pi(7)) = F(3, 6)$ must be empty. It is not, so we have a contradiction in this case as well.

The other cases are handled similarly.

LEMMA 3.2. There are at least $8!(3!)^3$ distinct frames of type 8^13^1 , on a fixed symbol set.

PROOF. We start with the $\{\{1,2,3\},\{i\}: 4 \le i \le 11\}$ -frame F_{11} presented in Figure 2. Let α and β be permutations of $\{1,2,3\}$ and let Π be a permutation of $\{1,2,\ldots,11\}$ such that $\{\Pi(1),\Pi(2),\Pi(3)\} = \{1,2,3\}$. Define the frame $F_{11}^{\alpha,\beta,\Pi}$ as follows:

for $4 \le i, j \le 11, F_{11}^{\alpha,\beta,\Pi}(\Pi(i), \Pi(j)) = \{\Pi(s), \Pi(t)\};$ for $1 \le i \le 3, 4 \le j \le 11, F_{11}^{\alpha,\beta,\Pi}(\alpha(i), \Pi(j)) = \{\Pi(s), \Pi(t)\};$ and for $4 \le i \le 11$, $1 \le j \le 3$, $F_{11}^{\alpha,\beta\Pi}(\Pi(i),\beta(j)) = \{\pi(s),\Pi(t)\}$, where, in each case $F_{11}(i, j) = \{s, t\}$. It is easily shown that each $F_{11}^{\alpha,\beta,\Pi}$ thus constructed is a $\{\{1,2,3\},\{i\}: 4 \le i \le 11\}$ -frame. We assert that the $(3!)^3 8!$ frames thus produced are distinct.

Again, it suffices to show that $F_{11}^{\alpha,\beta,\Pi} \neq F_{11}$ for any α, β, Π . Consider $F_{11}(7,5) = \{8,11\}$. Then $F_{11}^{\alpha,\beta,\Pi}(\Pi(7),\Pi(5)) = \{(8),\Pi(11)\}$. Now $\Pi(5),\Pi(7),\Pi(8),\Pi(11) \in \{4,5,\ldots,11\}$ so we see from F_{11} that $\{\Pi(8),\Pi(11)\} = \{8,11\}, \{9,10\}, \{4,7\}$ or $\{5,6\}$. These four cases are easily disposed of, as in Lemma 3.1, proving the result.

THEOREM 3.3. Suppose there exists a TD(9, n), with n odd and $0 \le t \le n$. Then there are at least

$$(9!)^{(n-t)n} \cdot \left(8! (3!)^3\right)^{nt} \cdot \left(2(n!)^2\right)^8 \cdot 2((n+2t)!)^2$$

distinct Room squares of side 9n + 2t, on a fixed symbol set.

PROOF. We apply Lemma 2.2. In the construction of the Room square of side 9n + 2t, there are (n - t)n blocks which miss W, each of which correspond to a frame of type 1⁹. The remaining *tn* blocks correspond to a frame of type 1⁸3¹. We thus obtain $(9!)^{(n-t)n}(8!(3!)^3)^{tn}$ distinct frames of type $n^8(n + 2t)^1$.

Now we fill in the holes of this frame. Let R be any Room squares of side n, by permuting rows and columns of R, and transposing (i.e. interchanging the function of rows and columns), we can obtain $2(n!)^2$ distinct Room squares of side n. We fill in eight Room squares of side n and one of side n + 2t; so each frame can be completed in at least $(2(n!)^2)^8 2((n + 2t)!)^2$ ways.

It is easily seen that all the Room squares obtained by this construction are distinct.

COROLLARY 3.4. Suppose there exists a TD(9, n) and $9n \le s \le 11$, where n and s are odd. Then

NR(s)
$$\geq \frac{(9!)^{n^2} 2^9 (n!)^{18}}{((11n)!)^2 (11n+1)!}.$$

PROOF. First, write s = 9n + 2t, where $0 \le t \le n$. We divide the lower bound for the number of distinct Room squares of side s obtained in Theorem 3.3 by the number of possible distinct Room squares isomorphic to a given Room square of side s. This number can be at most $((9n + 2t)!)^2(9n + 2t + 1)!$ (allowing perumtations of rows, columns and symbols). Thus,

$$NR(s) = NR(9n + 2t) \ge \frac{(9!)^{(n-t)n} (8! (3!)^3)^{tn} (2(n!)^2)^8 2((n+2t)!)^2}{((9n+2t)!)^2 (9n+2t+1)!}$$
$$> \frac{(9!)^{n^2} 2^9 (n!)^{18}}{((11n)!)^2 (11n+1)!}.$$

We examine the behavior of the above quantity with the following version of Stirlings's formula (see [9]).

LEMMA 3.5. $n! = (2\pi n)^{1/2} (n/e)^n e^{\alpha_n}$ where $1/(12n + 1) < \alpha_n < 1/12n$.

THEOREM 3.6. Suppose there is a TD(9, n) and $9n \le s \le 11n$ where n and s are odd. Then

$$NR(s) > c \cdot \exp\left(n^2 \ln(9!) - 15n \ln(n) - (33 \ln 11 - 15)n + \frac{13}{2} \ln(n)\right)$$

= (229 15 (00 - 113))/2

where $c = (2^{29}\pi^{15}/(9 \cdot 11^3))^{1/2}$.

PROOF. From Corollary 3.4, we have

$$NR(s) \geq \frac{2^{9} \cdot (9!)n^{2} \cdot (n!)^{18}}{((11n)!)^{3}(11n+1)}$$

$$\geq \frac{2^{9}(9!)^{n^{2}}(2\pi n)^{9}(n/e)^{18n}e^{18/(12n+1)}}{(22\pi n)^{3/2}(11n/e)^{33n}e^{3/(12\cdot11n)}(11n+1)} \quad (Lemma 3.5)$$

$$\cdot \geq \frac{2^{9}(9!)^{n^{2}}(2\pi n)^{9}(n/e)^{18n}}{(22\pi n)^{3/2}(11n/e)^{33n} \cdot 12n}$$

$$= \left(\frac{2^{29} \cdot \pi^{15}}{9 \cdot 11^{3}}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(n^{2}\ln(9!) - 15n\ln(n) - (33\ln 11 - 15)n + \frac{13}{2}\ln(n)\right)$$

The above bound is dependent upon the existence of certain transversal designs. The following result is well known.

LEMMA 3.7. Let n have prime power factorization $\pi p_i^{\alpha i}$. If $k \leq 1 + \min\{p_i^{\alpha_i}\}$, then there exists a TD(k, n).

One can then prove the following by use of the above lemma and a simple arithmetic argument (see [13]).

COROLLARY 3.8. If $s \ge 153$, then there is a positive integer n, with $9n \le s \le 11n$, such that a TD(9, n) exists.

Elementary calculus shows that the bound of Corollary 3.6 is an increasing function of n (for $n \ge 9$, for example). Thus we have

THEOREM 3.9. For $s \ge 153$ odd,

$$NR(s) > c \cdot \exp\left(\frac{s^2}{121}\ln(9!) - \frac{15}{11}s\ln(s) + \left(\frac{15}{11} - \frac{18}{11}\ln(11)\right)s + \frac{13}{2}\ln(s)\right),$$

where $c = (2^{29}\pi^{15}/(9 \cdot 11^{16}))^{1/2} > .19$.

COROLLARY 3.10. (1) For $s \ge 153$, s odd, NR(s) > $.19e^{.04s^2} > 1$. (2) For $s \ge 1001$, s odd, NR(s) > $.19e^{.09s^2}$. (3) NR(1001) > 10^{40850} .

4. Summary and remarks. We have shown that the number of nonisomorphic (and inequivalent) Room squares grows extremely rapidly. The techniques in this paper are quite general. A similar argument involving group-divisible designs could be used, for example, to show that the number of nonisomorphic (v, k, 1) balanced incomplete block designs approaches infinity as v approaches infinity.

References

1. B. A. Anderson, M. M. Barge and D. Morse, A recursive construction of asymmetric 1-factorizations, Aequationes Math. 15 (1977), 201-211.

2. I. R. Beaman and W. D. Wallis, A skew Room square of side 9, Utilitas Math. 8 (1975), 382.

3. K. B. Gross, Equivalence of Room designs. I and II, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 16 (1974), 264-265; 17 (1974), 299-316.

4. A. Hartman, Counting quadruple systems, Congr. Numer. 33 (1981), 45-54.

5. T. P. Kirkman, Note on an unanswered prize question, Cambridge and Dublin Math. J. 5 (1850), 255-262.

6. C. C. Lindner, An algebraic construction for Room squares, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 22 (1972), 574-579.

7. C. C. Lindner, E. Mendelsohn and A. Rosa, On the number of 1-factorizations of the complete graph, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 20 (1976), 265-282.

8. R. C. Mullin and W. D. Wallis, The existence of Room squares, Aequationes Math. 13 (1975), 1-7.

9. H. Robbins, Stirlings formula, Amer. Math. Monthly 62 (1955), 26-29.

10. A. Rosa, Room squares generalized, Ann. Discrete Math. 8 (1980), 43-57.

11. D. R. Stinson, Some constructions for frames, Room squares, and subsquares, Ars. Combin. 12 (1981), 229-268.

12. ____, Some results concerning frames, Room squares, and subsquares, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 31 (1981); 376-384.

13. _____, The existence of Howell designs of odd side, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 32 (1982), 53-65.

14. W. D. Wallis, A. P. Street and J. S. Wallis, Combinatorics: Room squares, sum-free sets, Hadamard matrices, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

15. R. M. Wilson, Nonisomorphic Steiner triple systems, Math. Z. 135 (1974), 303-313.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VERMONT, BURLINGTON, VERMONT 05405

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA, WINNEPEG, MANITOBA, R3T 2N2 CANADA